IEEE/ACL Workshop on Spoken Language Technology, Aruba, Dec. 11-13, 2006

Closing Remarks: How to Continue?

Hermann Ney

Human Language Technology and Pattern Recognition
Computer Science Department
RWTH Aachen University
D-52056 Aachen, Germany



yesterday's panel discussion:

Do we make enough progress?

Do we have enough interaction between speech and NLP people?

underlying aspects:

- How can we make (more) progress?
- What is the history?a lot of things have changed!

comparison:

- 1985: IEEE workshop on automatic speech recognition (and understanding), Arden House, Harriman, NY (and other events)
- 2006: IEEE/ACL workshop on spoken language technology, Aruba, (and other events)



IEEE workshop on ASR, Arden House, Harriman, NY, 1985:

state of the art for ASR:

- IBM: speaker-dep. isolated-word recognizer for 5000-word vocabulary
- other groups: 10-1000 words: constrained grammar, speaker-dependent

interaction and 'areas of contact' between speech and NLP people:

- phonetic knowledge in acoustic recognition (phoneticians!)
- language models: (non-statistical!) grammars, augmented/recursive TNs, ...
- NOTHING in MT or dialogue modelling (no ATIS task yet!)
- what did NOT exist:
 - evaluation metrics and campaigns
 - no common databases

'strong' belief of experts and funding agencies: expert systems are the future for ASR, MT and NLP!



Today and This Workshop

many areas of interaction between speech and NLP:

- language modelling
- machine translation
- spoken language understanding
- dialogue modelling
- information retrieval
- question-answering
- text summarization
- language generation
- multi-modal communication

observation:

- data-driven and statistical concepts have moved (from speech ?)
 to virtually all NLP related tasks
- problem?: no advocates of non-statistical approaches here



Do we make enough progress? Do we have enough interaction speech-NLP people?

Should we recommend specific methods or approaches? ?? good idea ??

- All approaches to both ASR and MT (in 80-90's): strongly recommended by so-called experts!
- leave that to the scientists (and evaluations!) diversity is good!
- instead: define framework and conditions for research

Framework and conditions for progress, e.g. in ASR and MT?

- agreement on common tasks
- agreement on common evaluation measures
- common databases and evaluation campaigns

?lack of common databases: for language understanding and dialog modelling



How can we work more efficiently?

two aspects:

- as a community?
- in terms of speech-NLP interaction?

specific proposals:

- ? common/public framework for software for ASR, MT and NLP: 'reference system'
- ? (more) specific tutorials for bridging the 'gap' between speech and NLP
- ? common goals/tasks
- ? databases
 e.g. for speech/language understanding and dialog modelling
- ...



Future Steps

workshop:

- idea: IEEE/ACL workshop biannual event interleaved with IEEE ASRU workshop
- what structure?



THE END

